

Woodridge First Party



NEWS

BOOK I VOLUME V

MARCH 12, 1969

Tell It Like It Is, Unity

The Woodridge First Party wishes to go on record as thanking the current administration for basing its 1969 campaign on its past record, and now, for actually listing the individual planks of the 1965 platform.

The residents of this Village, especially the residents of (1) one year or more, were all waiting with baited breath to see how the Unity Party and the current administration were going to explain their actions for the last (4) years, and what new promises would the Boss Mayor and his hand-picked minions make for 1969.

For those residents who have bothered to read the Unity Party Newsletter, we urge you to re-read it in light of the revelations following:

First, we call your attention to the article referring to a "Leopard". Even children know that leopards cannot change spots, and neither a leopard nor an honest man can change his nature or his convictions, beliefs and principles.

If this leopard could have changed his spots or his basic beliefs - most important of which is government by all the people, for all the people, and not government by a few hand picked rubber stamps for personal benefits - the leopard would still be associated with the true "color-changers" - the chame-

leons who change when it suits the fancy of the "boss".

Quoting from a recent Unity Newsletter - "...this leopard was responsible for an expenditure of over \$600.00 on the part of the Village to hold a special referendum on the question of whether the Village should acquire the Woodridge Sewer & Water Co. The leopard knew full well that this referendum was unnecessary!"

If you will recall another previous newsletter, one in which the big chameleon and all the little chameleons thought that the referendum was actually a good idea, and that very apologetically, they said they couldn't legally bring about a referendum. In fact, after looking through that letter it would almost appear that the chameleons want the credit for giving the people a chance to vote on an important issue.

If the chameleons consider allowing the people of the Village a chance to vote as "wasting \$600.00", I shudder to think of what the future of the Village holds with this type of chameleon "bossism". We also submit again that those two (2) fact sheets were trash and drivel, and not worth the paper they were printed on. Have you any facts yet Mr. Chameleon? Please! Please! We, the Village residents, implore you to let everyone know something about that which we all realize we need very badly. Just give us some facts!

Slow down please, all of you chameleons!

(Cont. on page 2)

We realize that you are trying to "dazzle" us with your footwork but lets try to avoid changing colors so fast!

Trustee Hill, we would direct a question to you:

Wouldn't you be proud to serve with a man of his convictions, a courageous man, a principled man, as Mayor? Remember, Trustee Hill, your public statement that you read to the Board of Trustees, attending public and press after the Boss Mayor changed his vote on the Village Hall? First the Boss said he wanted a Fairmont, and then he switched his vote to the Complex? At that time, there were a lot of people proud of you and ex-trustee Richardson. Where has your determination and strength of conviction gone?

Four years ago, Unity Party campaigned against spending \$180,000.00 for a Village Hall, and Trustee Hill pointed out in his public statement that Unity Party was for schools first.

Now the administration wants to build a \$500,000.00 Village Complex! And listen to this "waste" of Village funds - the administration has spent \$2,000.00 just for architectural drawings for this grandiose scheme which they say is some 2 to 5 years away. And that \$150,000.00 the Boss Mayor claims he has commitments from the builders for ----Where do you think that will go should they be re-elected? We submit that those funds should be used for much needed school space, and now!!

If the Village residents allow the current administration's plans for this grandiose scheme, we should all hang our heads in shame, for all of our children will "go begging" for school space and quality education.

Woodridge Residents -- We cannot hope to survive thru another four years with this Unity Administration.

The Unity Party campaign manager, Mr. Bill Woody, who is also known locally as the Plan Commission Chairman, and other titles, has outlined the history of the Unity Party. Mr. Woody, The First Party sub-

mits that the Unity Party, in 1965, did not approach former Mayor Roberts, who had shown his true colors when he quit, to reconsider his decision, but that Roberts had walked in brazenly and said I'm your candidate - this gall after he had just resigned, and after a mysterious "someone" carried out a vicious character assassination regarding the then mayoral candidate running under the Unity banner (who was forced to leave the Village). We also submit that Trustees Roman, Alexander, and Schmidt know this to be a fact!

There have been too many honest, determined people associated with the current Mayor in the past - these people will stand up and be counted on April 15. And you know that, Mr. Mayor.

Let's consider now their Park District Plank of 1965. The Unity Newsletter Editor, Trustee Roman claims that they have investigated the desirability of a park district and are presently assisting a citizens group in the formation of same. What is this citizens group? Who is on the committee? Is this so secret that the Village cannot know about it? Come On Unity - Give the residents of Woodridge a little credit! You don't have a leg to stand on here. This is another shining example of this administration's ineffectual "rule".

No equipment in any of the Parks until the spring of an election. We know of three different citizens groups that have tried to help the administration with the formation of a park district. The last citizens group presented a petition to the court with the understanding that the Mayor and the Board would pay for the legal fees.

Results as follows:

1 - The court through the petition out. The Administration did not assist in the presentation and said no to any appeals! Why, Mr. Mayor? ?

2 - The legal fees were not paid and the whole matter was dropped with no instructions to re-submit.

(Cont. on page 3)

We wonder also, where the \$12,000.00 for equipment will come from. We wonder why it has taken (4) years to accomplish absolutely nothing!

Possibly the administration really wants a "Park Board", which the Mayor will then be able to control with more of his "bossism" ???

We submit that this is exactly the plan of the administration, and that they have "conned" the Athletic Association into being the Pro-tem Park Board until after this election.

It looks (temporarily) as though the Boss Mayor has again succeeded in taking control of a supposedly non-partisan, non-political group under the pretense of doing something for the children.

Mr. Mayor, let's try not to contaminate the children of this Village with your control.

The Mayor also states that he has become increasingly concerned at the lack of participation by citizens throughout our community and he feels he must seek out competency and use it for public good.

We agree that competence should be sought out, utilized and rewarded.

But look to yourself and your rubber stamp board, Mr. Boss Mayor to realize why it appears there is no participation.

Everyone wants to belong, to help, to participate, - But, now everyone ask themselves, ask the people you meet on the street or in the store - Do you really know what is before the board, or what major items are being discussed. The Unity Platform states that it will expand the Village Communication Network - This glaring lack of communication with the residents has been an outstanding shortcoming of the current administration.

The People will respond on April 15 and will bring Good, Honest, Efficient Government

to the Village through the Woodridge First Party.

Nick Haviland

Nick Haviland, Editor

9

A QUESTION OF ETHICS

Wasn't that the Chairman of the Planning Commission, Bill Woody, riding in the official Public Works Commissioner's car, using up gasoline paid for by the Village residents, passing out Unity Party campaign literature in the 6300 block of Arnold Drive at approximately 1:00 P.M. on Sunday, March 2, 1969??

Who do you know, Mr. Plan Commission Chairman? And who was driving the car for you? And how much more of the Village's funds are being used in the administration's desperate campaign?

JUST A CLERK

Unity Party has stated in all the local papers that their candidate for Village Clerk, Mrs. Johnson, is now a Deputy Village Clerk, and has been for the last 3 1/2 years.

The truth of the matter is that she has the status of a clerk in the office of the Village Clerk. Her oath of office has not been legally signed by the Village Clerk and does not carry the Village Seal. Why wasn't she re-appointed to the status of Deputy Clerk, Mr. Mayor? Perhaps you would like to shed some light on that subject for the Village residents.

Due to the great amount of time and effort that Mr. Charles Martin must devote to being not only a Mayoral Candidate, but also the Campaign Chairman for Woodridge First Party, has resigned his position of Editor of Woodridge First Party News. We are happy to announce that Woodridge First Party has elected Mr. Nick Haviland as the new editor.

TWO PARTY SYSTEM ?

At a Woodridge First Coffee, held on Thursday, March 6, 1969, Mr. Martin was asked why he was so disappointed after the 1965 election when Unity Party passed into anti-quity? The gentleman asking the question stated that he could see the necessity of a two party system on the National and State levels of government, but he just couldn't see the necessity on a Village level, especially a Village as small as Woodridge.

The candidates really did not have to answer his question. Several of the people attending the coffee answered instead. This gentleman was asked if he did not believe in checks and balances on all levels of government? He had no reply. It is here for everyone to see. Even the residents who have not been in the Village too long. Ask those who have attended Board Meetings, etc. It is inevitable, where there is one man rule, there is no opposition, and where there is opposition, there is no one man rule.

Who was this gentleman who asked the question? Mr. Andy Wood, the Assistant Editor to Trustee Roman of the Unity Party Newspaper, a Trustee for Recreation in the Winston Hills Home Owners Association, and a staunch supporter of Unity Party (although he has approached Woodridge First Party to be considered for the Plan Commission if Woodridge First candidates are elected.)

Unity Party, under your One Man Rule, do you believe in two parties and opposition?? We submit that you do not, as voiced by one of your own in public.

TRUSTEE ROMAN STATES:

"Unity Party believes that a complete change of government at this time would be disastrous."

Remember the campaign of 1965?? Unity thought that it would be disastrous to the Village if they didn't get in with their prom-

ise of business-like government which has turned in to four years of "bossism" and dictatorial rule.

Unity, will it be disastrous to the special few or would it be disastrous to the Unity Party which will fade away, never to rise again, because of the "Boss"?? Is your "boss" demanding a complete vote for Unity, or no vote? Remember, he did four years ago. Is this because he absolutely cannot stand opposition? Woodridge First submits that it will be disastrous to the Village if Unity is re-elected, under one man rule!

REMEMBER: "CANDIDATE'S NIGHT"
March 19, 1969 Edgewood School 7:30

* SURE-DEPENDABLE-PRICELESS!!

WOODRIDGE 1st-SPECIAL-

Can we, the residents of Woodridge, afford the luxuries that go along with Unity's "Deluxe"?

1969
UNITY DELUXE

Experience isn't everything, but it sure ~~helps~~ costs. How much do we have to pay for "experience"?

⊗ VOTE ~~UNITY~~ FOR Woodridge First
EXPERIENCE ⊗??

1 year experience X 4 years = Experience X 10 =
"Boss" Mayor

* We would like to thank Unity Party for the use of the above drawing.